17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

VS.

Fortify Legal Services	
3707 E Southern Avenue Mesa, AZ 85206	
Phone:	www.FortifyLS.com
Kyle O'Dwyer ();
Attorney for Plaintiff	

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

Laura Owens,

Case No: CV2021-052893

Plaintiff,

Gregory Gillespie,

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S CONTROVERTING STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SEPARATE STATEMENT OF FACTS

-AND-

PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS

Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure 56(c)(3)(B), Plaintiff, by and through undersigned counsel, submits this Opposing Statement of Facts in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.

I. CONTROVERTING STATEMENT

- 1. Objection, no facts under Rule 56 are presented to respond to. Plaintiff does not dispute that the Motion concerns the claim brought by Plaintiff.
- 2. Objection, no facts under Rule 56 are presented to respond to. The Complaint and disclosure statement (Defendant's Separate Statement of Facts ("DSSOF"), Exhibit A) set forth accurately the basic facts on which Plaintiff bases her claim as well as documentation contained in those documents.

- 3. Objection, no facts under Rule 56 are presented to respond to and Defendant's motion does not challenge the sufficiency of the Complaint. Plaintiff does not dispute that the Motion concerns the claim brought by Plaintiff.
 - 4. Undisputed.
- 5. Objection, no facts under Rule 56 are presented to respond to and Defendant's motion does not challenge the sufficiency of the Complaint, which this Court already ruled on in its December 15, 2021 Minute-Entry Order. Subject to that objection, undisputed.
- 6. Disputed. Plaintiff's disclosure statement incorporates by reference the pleadings and the exhibits attached to pleadings and the documents disclosed by other parties. In a Tier 1 mandatory arbitration case, re-distributing the same documents already disclosed by the opposing party is overly burdensome.
 - 7. Undisputed.
 - 8. Undisputed.
- 9. Objection, Defendant never provided any specific defense that the emotional distress did not manifest in physical symptoms in the answer, any Rule 26.1 disclosure statement, or otherwise. Ms. Owens suffered from skin rashes and heartburn as set out in her affidavit below. Ex. A, Affidavit of Laura Owens, ¶ 5 and Ex. 1 attached thereto.
- 10. Undisputed that Plaintiff is not seeking damages for expenses for any treatment she may have received due to Mr. Gillespie's actions.
- 11. Objection, Defendant never provided any specific defense that the emotional distress did not manifest in physical symptoms in the answer, disclosure statement, or otherwise. Answer; Ex. B, Defendant's Second Supplemental Disclosure Statement. Subject to that objection, Disputed. Ms. Owens suffered from skin rashes and heartburn as a result of the trauma caused by Mr. Gillespie and as set out in her affidavit

attached hereto. Ex. A, \P 5 and Ex. 1 attached thereto. She is seeking damages due to the trauma she suffered. DSSOF Ex. A, at 5.

- 12. Disputed. Plaintiff seeks an award of \$40,000 due to the trauma she suffered from the intentional infliction of emotional distress caused by Mr. Gillespie. *Id.*
- 13. Disputed. The computation of damages is \$40,000 for the trauma she suffered as well as costs. *Id*.
- 14. Disputed. Plaintiff incorporated into her disclosure statement the numerous disclosures made by Defendants, pleadings filed by the parties with exhibits attached thereto, etc. *Id.*, at 5-6.
- 15. Disputed. Plaintiff incorporated into her disclosure statement the numerous disclosures made by Defendants, pleadings filed by the parties with exhibits attached thereto, etc. *Id.*

II. PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS

- 1. Ms. Owens is a popular self-help podcaster and victim's advocate who speaks regularly on the topic of coerced abortions. Ex. A, \P 2.
- 2. Ms. Owens was ready to have a child when she got pregnant with Mr. Gillespie's child. *Id*.
- 3. However, she felt a connection with Mr. Gillespie and because he promised to follow through with a relationship with her if she had an abortion, she went through the process. *Id.*
- 4. Ms. Owens knew that it was possible that her credibility as a victim's rights advocate could be tarnished but believed she could have a successful long-term relationship with Mr. Gillespie. *Id*.
- 5. Ms. Owens followed through with the abortion based on the false promises by Defendant that they would have a relationship. Id., ¶ 3; Ex. C, Text Messages between the Parties.

- 6. However, after Ms. Owens went through with the abortion, Mr. Gillespie blocked her on all forms of social media and the phone. Ex. A, ¶ 3; Ex. D, Text Messages between the Parties; Complaint, at 17.
- 7. Mr. Gillespie never intended to follow through with the promise of a relationship with Ms. Owens. Ex. A., \P 3.
- 8. Mr. Gillespie then threatened to withhold child support for Ms. Owens if she went through with the pregnancy, demanded she "take the fucking pills," and threatened to call the police on her. Ex. A, ¶ 4; Exhibit E, Text Messages between the Parties.
- 9. Mr. Gillespie also claimed that Ms. Owens was holding him hostage "for a bastard." Ex. A, ¶ 4; Exhibit F, Text Messages between the Parties.
- 10. Plaintiff suffered severe anxiety from the emotional distress intentionally caused by Defendant. Ex. A, ¶ 5; Ex. G, Text Messages between the Parties.
- 11. Ms. Owens had physical symptoms of skin rashes and heartburn due to the trauma she suffered. Ex. A, \P 5 and Ex. 1 attached thereto.
- 12. There is not a day that goes by that Ms. Owens does not regret the decision that was coerced by Mr. Gillespie. Id., \P 6.
 - 13. Ms. Owens has trouble focusing at work due to Mr. Gillespie's actions. *Id.*
- 14. Ms. Owens has cried due to guilt and embarrassment, lost sleep, and suffers from significant mood swings. *Id.*
- 15. Ms. Owens is in fear for her safety and has obtained multiple orders for protection against Mr. Gillespie. Id., ¶ 7.
 - 16. Ms. Owens now splits time in Arizona and California. *Id.*
- 17. Plaintiff accidentally served two Initial Disclosure Statements (one dated November 23, 2022 and another dated April 17, 2023, which incorporated documents attached to them, documents disclosed by other parties, and documents filed in and with

pleadings, among other documents. DSSOF, Ex. A; Ex. H. 1 2 18. 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Filed this 6th day of September 2023 14 15 by TurboCourt on the following: 16 Fabian Zazueta 17 Garret Respondek Zazueta Law Firm, PLLC 18 19 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 20 21 22 Devina Jackson 23 **Court-Appointed Arbitrator** 24

Defendant never disclosed the defense that Ms. Owens had to prove physical symptoms of severe emotional distress. Ex. B, at 2. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6th day of September, 2023. FORTIFY LEGAL SERVICES /s/ Kyle O'Dwyer Kyle O'Dwyer 3707 E Southern Avenue Mesa, AZ 85206 Attorney for Plaintiff with Maricopa County Clerk of Court and served this 6th day of September 2023 2633 East Indian School Road, Suite 370 With COPY to the following by email: 25 26 By: Kyle O'Dwyer