



Clerk of the Superior Court
*** Electronically Filed ***
C. Brown, Deputy
1/2/2024 8:57:04 AM
Filing ID 17114192

1 Alexis Lindvall, Esq. #034734
2 **MODERN LAW**
3 1744 S. Val Vista Drive, Suite 205
4 Mesa, Arizona 85204
5 (480) 649-2905 Phone
6 alexis.lindvall@mymodernlaw.com
7 *Attorney for Petitioner*

8 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA**
9 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**

Case No.: FC2023-052114

In Re the Matter of:

10 [Redacted Name]

Petitioner,

EXPEDITED MOTION TO QUASH
DEPOSITION OF PETITIONER

(Expedited Ruling Requested)

11 and

(The Honorable Julie Mata)

12 **CLAYTON ECHARD,**

13 Respondent.

14
15
16
17 Petitioner, I [Redacted Name], files this Motion to Quash the deposition noticed
18 by Respondent for January 17, 2024. There is a pending Motion to Dismiss this paternity
19 establishment matter. Both parties agree that Petitioner is not pregnant, and they do not
20 share any minor children. Despite this agreement that there is no paternity for this court
21 to establish, Respondent will not stipulate to dismissal and instead noticed Petitioner's
22 deposition. On December 28th, undersigned counsel emailed Petitioner's counsel and
23 asked if Petitioner was "willing to postpone the deposition until we have a ruling on the
24 dismissal?" Exhibit A, Email Exchange Between Counsel at 4. Respondent's counsel
25 responded with a revised Notice of Deposition.

26 There are no hearing dates upcoming. Petitioner concedes she is not pregnant with
27 Respondent's children. There is nothing left for this Court to adjudicate. Even if the





1 Court does not rule in favor of Petitioner's request for dismissal, there is no reason
2 Petitioner's deposition must be taken immediately and cannot wait until after this Court
3 rules on the Motion to Dismiss. This request is expedited because the deposition is
4 currently scheduled for approximately two weeks from now.

5 **WHEREFORE**, Petitioner respectfully requests this Court quash Respondent's
6 deposition of Petitioner currently scheduled for January 17, 2024, and any other
7 deposition of Petitioner until after the dismissal is ruled upon.

8 **RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED** this 2nd day of January 2024.

9 **MODERN LAW**

10
11 By: /s/ Alexis Lindvall
12 Alexis Lindvall
13 Attorney for Petitioner

14 **ORIGINAL** of the foregoing eFiled
15 this 2nd day of January 2024 with:

16 Clerk of the Superior Court
17 Maricopa County Superior Court

18 **COPIES** of the foregoing delivered
19 this 2nd day of January 2024 to:

20 Honorable Julie Mata
21 Maricopa County Superior Court

22 Gregg Woodnick, Esq.
23 WOODNICK LAW, PLLC
24 office@woodnicklaw.com
25 Attorney for Respondent

26 By: /s/ Sarah Saxon
27 Sarah Saxon





Clerk of the Superior Court
*** Electronically Filed ***
C. Brown, Deputy
1/2/2024 10:27:01 AM
Filing ID 17115721

1 Alexis Lindvall, Esq. #034734
2 **MODERN LAW**
3 1744 S. Val Vista Drive, Suite 205
4 Mesa, Arizona 85204
5 (480) 649-2905 Phone
6 alexis.lindvall@mymodernlaw.com
7 *Attorney for Petitioner*

8 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA**
9 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**

10 In Re the Matter of:

11 [REDACTED]

12 Petitioner,

13 and

14 **CLAYTON ECHARD,**

15 Respondent.

Case No.: FC2023-052114

**EXPEDITED MOTION TO QUASH
DEPOSITION OF PETITIONER**

(Expedited Ruling Requested)

(The Honorable Julie Mata)

16
17 Petitioner, [REDACTED] S, files this Motion to Quash the deposition noticed
18 by Respondent for January 17, 2024. There is a pending Motion to Dismiss this paternity
19 establishment matter. Both parties agree that Petitioner is not pregnant, and they do not
20 share any minor children. Despite this agreement that there is no paternity for this court
21 to establish, Respondent will not stipulate to dismissal and instead noticed Petitioner's
22 deposition. On December 28th, undersigned counsel emailed Petitioner's counsel and
23 asked if Petitioner was "willing to postpone the deposition until we have a ruling on the
24 dismissal?" Exhibit A, Email Exchange Between Counsel at 4. Respondent's counsel
25 responded with a revised Notice of Deposition.

26 There are no hearing dates upcoming. Petitioner concedes she is not pregnant with
27 Respondent's children. There is nothing left for this Court to adjudicate. Even if the





1 Court does not rule in favor of Petitioner's request for dismissal, there is no reason
2 Petitioner's deposition must be taken immediately and cannot wait until after this Court
3 rules on the Motion to Dismiss. This request is expedited because the deposition is
4 currently scheduled for approximately two weeks from now.

5 **WHEREFORE**, Petitioner respectfully requests this Court quash Respondent's
6 deposition of Petitioner currently scheduled for January 17, 2024, and any other
7 deposition of Petitioner until after the dismissal is ruled upon.

8 **RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED** this 2nd day of January 2024.

9

MODERN LAW

10

By: /s/ Alexis Lindvall

11

Alexis Lindvall

12

Attorney for Petitioner

13

14 **ORIGINAL** of the foregoing eFiled
15 this 2nd day of January 2024 with:

16

Clerk of the Superior Court
Maricopa County Superior Court

17

18 **COPIES** of the foregoing delivered
19 this 2nd day of January 2024 to:

20

Honorable Julie Mata
Maricopa County Superior Court

21

22 Gregg Woodnick, Esq.
23 WOODNICK LAW, PLLC
24 office@woodnicklaw.com
Attorney for Respondent

25

By: /s/ Sarah Saxon

26

Sarah Saxon

27





12/29/23, 4:48 PM

Modern Law Mail - RE: Owens/Echard



Alexis Lindvall <alexis.lindvall@mymodernlaw.com>

RE: Owens/Echard

1 message

Isabel Ranney [REDACTED] Thu, Dec 28, 2023 at 2:14 PM
To: Alexis Lindvall <alexis.lindvall@mymodernlaw.com>
Cc: Gregg Woodnick [REDACTED], [REDACTED]

Lexi,

Of course, just let me know when you are able. I will place it on our calendar for the 17th at 1:00 pm, pending your client's confirmation.

Thank you,

Isabel Ranney

Isabel Ranney

Attorney



1747 E. Morten Ave., #205

Phoenix, Arizona 85020

Phone: 602-449-7980

Fax: 602-396-5850

www.woodnicklaw.com



CONFIDENTIAL/PRIVILEGED INFORMATION: The information contained in this electronic mail message is Attorney privileged and confidential information intended ONLY for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via electronic mail or the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8a31b080b5&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-f:1786477706186889353%7Cmsg-f:1786558005894238253&siml=msg...> 1/7

12/29/23, 4:48 PM

Modern Law Mail - RE: Owens/Echard

From: Alexis Lindvall <alexis.lindvall@mymodernlaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 28, 2023 1:13 PM
To: Isabel Ranney [REDACTED]
Cc: Gregg Woodnick [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Owens/Echard





confidential information intended ONLY for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via electronic mail or the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8a31b080b5&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-f:1786477706186889353%7Cmsg-f:1786558005894238252&simpl=msg...> 1/7

12/29/23, 4:48 PM

Modern Law Mail - RE: Owens/Echard

From: Alexis Lindvall <alexis.lindvall@mymodernlaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 28, 2023 1:13 PM
To: Isabel Ranney [REDACTED]
Cc: Gregg Woodnick [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Owens/Echard

Isabel, sorry, I have another hearing at 9:45 a.m. on the 17th. If you could wait for my availability and my client's availability before drafting notices that would probably save you further unnecessary attorney's fees. I already reached out to her regarding 1/17 at 1 p.m. and I am waiting for her response. I understand professional courtesy requires us to agree on a date even though I will be filing my motion tomorrow.

Thank you,

Lexi Lindvall, Esq.
Attorney | Modern Law
Call/Text: [REDACTED]
Mesa | Peoria | Scottsdale
www.mymodernlaw.com

Modern law is a paperless office and hard copies of documents will not be mailed unless requested.

This email contains confidential, legal information. If you realize this email was not intended for you, please ignore the content, immediately notify me that you received it, then delete it. Otherwise bad legal stuff could happen.

Please be advised, this communication does not constitute an agreement pursuant to Rule 69, Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure. Any statement concerning settlement is made pursuant to Rule 408, Arizona Rules of Evidence, and shall be considered protected from use in any future litigation.

On Thu, Dec 28, 2023 at 2:11 PM Isabel Ranney [REDACTED] wrote:

Lexi,

No problem regarding the deposition on the 12th, as we will of course work with your schedule. I am attaching another Notice for January 17th, at 9:00 a.m. Please note this will be in person as our office, pursuant to Rule 57.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8a31b080b5&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-f:1786477706186889353%7Cmsg-f:1786558005894238252&simpl=msg...> 2/7

12/29/23, 4:48 PM

Modern Law Mail - RE: Owens/Echard

We look forward to seeing you and Laura on the 17th at our office.

Thank you,

LECTATED BUT NOT READ





Lexi,

No problem regarding the deposition on the 12th, as we will of course work with your schedule. I am attaching another Notice for January 17th, at 9:00 a.m. Please note this will be in person as our office, pursuant to Rule 57.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8a31b080b5&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-f:1786477706186889353%7Cmsg-f:1786558005894238252&simpl=msg...> 2/7

12/29/23, 4:48 PM

Modern Law Mail - RE: Owens/Echard

We look forward to seeing you and Laura on the 17th at our office.

Thank you,

DICTATED BUT NOT READ

Gregg Woodnick

Isabel Ranney

Attorney



WOODNICK LAW P.L.L.C.

1747 E. Morten Ave., #205

Phoenix, Arizona 85020

Phone: 602-449-7980

Fax: 602-396-5850

www.woodnicklaw.com

[REDACTED]

CONFIDENTIAL/PRIVILEGED INFORMATION: The information contained in this electronic mail message is Attorney privileged and confidential information intended ONLY for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via electronic mail or the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

From: Alexis Lindvall <alexis.lindvall@mymodernlaw.com>

Sent: Thursday, December 28, 2023 12:57 P

To: Isabel Ranney

q Woodnick

Subject: Re: Owens/Echard

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8a31b080b5&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-f:1786477706186889353%7Cmsg-f:1786558005894238252&simpl=msg...> 3/7

12/29/23, 4:48 PM

Modern Law Mail - RE: Owens/Echard

Isabel,

By now you have probably seen the motions that we filed earlier today. Is your client willing to postpone the deposition until we have a ruling on the dismissal? If not, I will be filing an expedited Motion to Quash the deposition sometime tomorrow. Even if it is not quashed, I am not available on 1/12 at 9:00 a.m. I have a hearing in a dependency matter scheduled for 10:45 a.m. (I am assuming you plan to depose my client for a while).





12/29/23, 4:48 PM

Modern Law Mail - RE: Owens/Echard

Isabel,

By now you have probably seen the motions that we filed earlier today. Is your client willing to postpone the deposition until we have a ruling on the dismissal? If not, I will be filing an expedited Motion to Quash the deposition sometime tomorrow. Even if it is not quashed, I am not available on 1/12 at 9:00 a.m. I have a hearing in a dependency matter scheduled for 10:45 a.m. (I am assuming you plan to depose my client for a while).

~~Clayton~~ is not willing to commit perjury by signing the Affidavit you sent over. The Court will not be able to order her to sign it. She concedes she is not pregnant. There cannot be a finding of non-paternity for a non-existent child. Clayton's only viable claim at this point is for attorney's fees. If he insists on proceeding with a deposition when a motion to dismiss is pending, he's racking up needless fees.

Please let me know whether you will agree to postpone the deposition as soon as possible. If I don't hear from you or if you don't agree, I will proceed with filing the Motion to Quash.

Thank you,

Lexi Lindvall, Esq.

Attorney | **Modern Law**

Call/Text: [REDACTED]

Mesa | Peoria | Scottsdale
www.mymodernlaw.com

Modern law is a paperless office and hard copies of documents will not be mailed unless requested.

This email contains confidential, legal information. If you realize this email was not intended for you, please ignore the content, immediately notify me that you received it, then delete it. Otherwise bad legal stuff could happen.

Please be advised, this communication does not constitute an agreement pursuant to Rule 69, Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure. Any statement concerning settlement is made pursuant to Rule 408, Arizona Rules of Evidence, and shall be considered protected from use in any future litigation.

On Thu, Dec 28, 2023 at 12:56 PM Isabel Ranney [REDACTED] wrote:

Lexi,

Please see the attached Notice of Deposition for your client at our office on **January 12, 2024 at 9:00 a.m.** If this time does not work for you, we are also available on January 17th at 9:00 a.m. or 1 p.m. I am more than happy to reschedule it to better accommodate your schedule, but our preference is sooner rather than later.





12/29/23, 4:48 PM

Modern Law Mail - RE: Owens/Echard

You will also find attached Exhibit A, consistent with Rule 57(b)(2), *Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure*. This was initially sent to your client weeks ago, so she is well aware of her Rule 49 disclosure obligations as well as her duty to produce the documents requested. If you need me to send along a blank HIPAA release for your client to sign, I am happy to do so (one was included in our December 12th email to her).

Thank you,

Isabel Ranney

Isabel Ranney

Attorney



1747 E. Morten Ave., #205

Phoenix, Arizona 85020

Phone: 602-449-7980

Fax: 602-396-5850

www.woodnicklaw.com

CONFIDENTIAL/PRIVILEGED INFORMATION: The information contained in this electronic mail message is Attorney privileged and confidential information intended ONLY for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via electronic mail or the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

