Clerk of the Superior Court

\*\*\* Electronically Filed \*\*\*

M. Cain, Deputy

12/28/2023 11:52:50 AM

Filing ID 17104654

Alexis Lindvall, Esq. #034734

MODERN LAW

1744 S. Val Vista Drive, Suite 205

Mesa, Arizona 85204

(480) 649-2905 Phone

alexis.lindvall@mymodernlaw.com

Attorney for Petitioner

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

In Re the Matter of:

Petitioner,

and

CLAYTON ECHARD,

Respondent.

Case No.: FC2023-052114

PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO EXPEDITED MOTION TO EXTEND DISMISSAL DATE ON INACTIVE CALENDAR AND SCHEDULE AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING

(The Honorable Julie Mata)

Petitioner, Latenace S, asks this Court to deny Respondent's December 13, 2023 Motion to Extend in its entirety. In his Motion, Respondent requests (1) a 60-day continuance on the dismissal calendar; (2) a virtual evidentiary hearing on paternity/non-paternity, attorney's fees, and Rule 26 sanctions; and (3) an award of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to A.R.S. § 25-324. This Court should deny all Respondent's requests.

First and most importantly, Petitioner has concurrently filed a Motion to Dismiss her Petition to Establish, filed August 1, 2023. In that Motion, Petitioner concedes that she is no longer pregnant. Without minor children linking these unmarried parties, this Court does not have jurisdiction to proceed in a paternity establishment matter.

Second, Respondent's Motion is premature. The dismissal calendar deadline is not until February 2, 2024. It is not clear why Respondent—who adamantly denies he



## 卽

## From JusticeForClayton community on Reddit

impregnated Petitioner and has stated he wants nothing to do with her—is seeking to ensure this case is not dismissed long before the dismissal deadline. But, in any event, one unavoidable fact remains: Petitioner acknowledges that she is no longer pregnant with Respondent's child, meaning there is no paternity to establish. There is nothing left for this Court to adjudicate, and this case should be dismissed.

Third, given Petitioner's acknowledgment that she is no longer pregnant, the only remaining issue is Respondent's request for attorney's fees—attorney's fees that, upon information and belief, he did not personally incur. Respondent's request for Rule 26 sanctions against Petitioner is not viable, as Respondent did not satisfy any of Rule 26's prerequisite requirements. For instance, Respondent has attempted to shoehorn his request for sanctions into his proposed Amended Response and his Motion to Extend, even though Rule 26 clearly requires a motion for sanctions to "be made separately from any other motion," after a good faith consultation, which has not occurred. Ariz. R. Fam. L. P. 26(c)(3)(A).

WHEREFORE, Petitioner asks this Court to deny Respondent's Motion to Extend. Petitioner is not now pregnant. This is no longer a paternity establishment case, as there is no paternity to establish. This case is now moot, and for this reason Petitioner seeks to voluntarily dismiss this case. Petitioner has concurrently filed a Motion to Dismiss her case in full, and this Court should grant that motion and deny Respondent's request for an unnecessary hearing.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 28th day of December 2023.

#### MODERN LAW

By: /s/ Alexis Lindvall
Alexis Lindvall
Attorney for Petitioner



To: Scottsdale Police Department

### **EXHIBIT 4**

Report prepared by



Subject: Threats, intimidation, verbal abuse, endangerment and extortion from Clayton Echard

Date: July 31, 2023

To Whom It May Concern:

Clayton Echard is the father of my unborn twins. When I told him I was pregnant, he started writing erratic, illogical and threatening messages. He has been clear that being a father has not been in his life plan and would get in the way of his dating and professional life. These would be followed by periods of silence and him blocking me, then unblocking me to demand alternative forms of proof of pregnancy beyond the medical report I had provided him from Banner Health Urgent Care confirming it.

Clayton has said he would file legal charges (criminal fraud) against me for stating that he is the father of my unborn twins. Extortion is a crime under ARS 13-1804. He alleges I am being untruthful; however, I have even done a test in front of him that he purchased himself. It was positive. In addition, he has been provided with medical test results, recorded phone calls with doctor's offices confirming the viability of the pregnancy, and screenshots of my patient portals. I also agreed to take a prenatal patemity test through a lab he found called Ravgen, but he has now gone MIA and will not allow me to schedule the test, which would prove to him once and for all that the twins are his. Finally, I told him that I would sign a HIPAA release to allow him to speak to any and all of my medical providers regarding the validity of the pregnancy.

I have included written correspondence with Clayton to date that clearly demonstrate his potentially violent, threatening and intimidating behavior. I have invited him to attend a doctor's appointment on August 7th at Scottsdale Perinatal Associates with Dr. Makhoul for a consultation and ultrasound. His paranoia extends to the level that he believes I will have hired someone to pretend to be a doctor and who will confirm the pregnancy. He simply refuses to believe that I am pregnant, despite seeing a test with his own eyes, and that he is the father, despite my willingness to take a paternity test.

Clayton also demanded contact information for my abusive ex, whose attempts to kill me I did a TEDx talk about, and which Clayton linked to in the message for his request. I have a CLETS restraining order against the man he wanted to speak to, and engaging him in communication would put me in a vulnerable position. Endangerment is a crime under ARS 13-1201.

I am very concerned about my safety and I don't know what actions Clayton will take because he is so volatile, controlling, demeaning and hateful. I have asked him on many occasions to reassure me that he will not hurt me or our unborn babies, and he will not respond to that simple question. I told him I would file a police report if he didn't. I have proof that Clayton has read





those messages, which I am attaching. Crimes of intimidation and threats, by word or conduct, are listed as a criminal offense under ARS 13-3601.

A domestic violence offense against a pregnant person is a felony offense under ARS 13-3601. For all of the reasons above, I am concerned that Clayton will cause physical harm/injury to me. Please enter all attached documents and recordings into evidence and include them with this report.







10:39 AM

Re: Interaction with Your Daughter

To: Clayton Echard

#### Hi Clayton,

Have you heard from today? She was supposed to be at the barn for an early appointment with one of the horses, but the vet called me to say she didn't show up for it. I checked my email and saw that I missed one she sent very early this morning after I went to bed. The content is private, but given the circumstances, I think it's important that you read it:

Mom, you've always said one of the best and worst things about me is that I look to what's ten steps ahead than enjoy what's right in front of me. The future has always given me hope and been something I look forward to, but that's not the case anymore. You know that initially, I only saw the pregnancy as holding me back in life, but with your help, I began to see it as something unexpected, but not unwanted. I thought that Clayton would come around to see it in that same light, but he hasn't, so as I try to picture what my life will look like next February and beyond, I feel a sense of panic. has emphasized how she feels like she couldn't raise without help, so how can I manage to raise two without Clayton's? I have pushed and pushed him to give me some sort of answer as to whether or not he will do joint custody or provide child support, but he won't get back to me. I thought that if we did paternity testing, he would step up to the plate so we could organize what the future would look like, but now, he doesn't even want to get back to me to schedule it. It's not like I am trying to hide anything from him or that I am refusing a test, so I don't understand why he is treating me like I am trying to trap him. I am terrified that I won't know what role, if any, he will play until they are born and that is making me dread the next eighteen years.

I have asked Clayton to "explore things" with me for a week or two so many times that the phrase has become cliche. It embarrassed me to ask him from day one, but it didn't feel right to never even give things a try considering the situation we found ourselves in. He doesn't know me well enough to know that I didn't seek him out and that he isn't a standout compared to my exes. He's not a billionaire like Garrett, not a famous singer like Dean [Lewis], and not an Olympian like Jeremy [Bloom], and if I ever told him, he'd never believe that I didn't seek them out, nor that I was the one to end it with each of them. He doesn't get that I wouldn't care if he were a garbageman as long as he was the father: that's what I care about. He doesn't care to know that I wasn't born with a silver spoon in my mouth just because of who dad is, nor that I had to start the horse business at sixteen to try to help the family make up for his gambling losses. Instead of asking me to hear more about what happened with Mike, he wants to ask him, as if he even has a side. He won't give me the time of day to explain what Andrew did to me and why the FBI's involvement meant I literally couldn't be with anyone until him and why the babies couldn't be anyone else's.

Clayton thinks I am an ugly girl who isn't worth his time, and I know that the babies will mean I won't be worth anyone else's. Who wants to date a single mom of twins whose dad probably won't even take them for a weekend here and there? I will spend years trying to explain to them why their dad couldn't try for a week to make things work with their mom when I will never understand it myself. I will spend their whole lives trying to explain to them why their dad wants nothing to do with them and I know they will feel guilty about that and have issues forever because of it.

I have given Clayton "outs". I have offered to have an abortion so many times because I don't want to interrupt his life. I know you've told me not to, and your reasoning isn't wrong, but I have told him so many times that I would go to the press if I didn't get in communication with him to game plan the future. I have meant it each time, but chickened out every single time because I know the impact that would have on him. I hate myself for threatening him with this, but I am desperate to know what next year and beyond holds and he refuses to give me clarity. I haven't wanted to tell you, but I have told him the two times I have felt borderline suicidal, which includes right now. He used the first time against me in his response to the court, saying that I had mental issues, and I'm sure he'd say that again, even though my reasoning is because of how unsure of the future I feel because of him. I have told him I would take back the complaint I submitted to the real estate board, even though I know it was justified, if he will just communicate with me. He'd rather get fired or lose his license than have to talk to me. He'd rather have the press know about the pregnancy than speak to me. Do you know how that feels?

You had dad when you had me and had when she had when she had when she had and had when she had when she had when she had and they probably think I'm nuts too, even though again, all I want is to know what the future holds. Clayton won't even respect the court and that he is required to communicate with me.

Who wants to feel like they are so worthless that they have to beg a man to give them a week of their time when they are pregnant with his children? Even with begging, he won't do it. Trust me, I wouldn't be desperate to date him if we weren't in this situation — he has treated me like shit. But if he got to know me and I got to know him, maybe things would be different. If they weren't, then the possibility of us being together would no longer be an issue and we could focus on being the best co-parents that we could be! Doesn't Clayton realize that we could have eliminated the possibility of this months ago if he'd just given it a week? Or maybe he would have surprised himself and he actually would have liked me. You know that I am loyal and that I could have made him better, but he won't give me the chance to. That will never happen, and the eternal optimist in me has slowly become a pessimist.

I've come to realize that Clayton will always view me and the pregnancy as being the worst things to happen to him. Me having the twins would be his downfall. He supposedly has a mission to help those with mental health, and although he has proven that he doesn't care about mine, I would hate to be the reason that other people don't benefit from whatever message he has to spread. Despite the fact that I know he thinks I don't care about him, he's the father of my kids, and I do; I would hate it if we mean that he doesn't find the happiness he is looking for. Clearly, he can't picture a future with the twins in it, and I can't live in the present not knowing what the future with the twins holds (if that makes any sense). The anxiety I feel of not knowing what role he would play in their lives, if any, is crippling, because that would obviously impact any time I would have for my own hopes and dreams in the future. As a last ditch attempt to save both of us from this, I even offered him a late term abortion (now), but he didn't respond. Now, I just give up.

I emailed him shortly before I wrote this, saw he read the email, and didn't respond, so I know he doesn't care. His life would be better without me and the twins in it, and if he has the ability to feel any guilt for what he has done to us, I hope he does. If something happens to me, please find the nerve I didn't and share this with the world so they know I didn't suffer from any major mental health issues, but rather that I did everything I could to get Clayton to tell me what he saw the future with our kids looking like and that he refused to tell me. At the end of the day, it really is his fault.

I have called her several times and checked her house, but she's not there. My texts are getting delivered, but she isn't responding to me or her sister. I even called the police, who wait a much longer time before filing a missing person's report. Can you please text her? I think you would be the only one who would get her to answer if she is reachable and we regardless, please email me to let me know you received this.

Thank you,

## EXHIBIT "5"

36/47

From: Alexis Lindvall ·

Sent: Thursday, December 28, 2023 13:57 PM

To: Isabel Ranney

Cc: Gregg Woodnick <

; Tiffany Benz

; Sarah Saxon

Subject: Re: Echard

Isabel,

By now you have probably seen the motions that we filed earlier today. Is your client willing to postpone the deposition until we have a ruling on the dismissal? If not, I will be filing an expedited Motion to Quash the deposition sometime tomorrow. Even if it is not quashed, I am not available on 1/12 at 9:00 a.m. I have a hearing in a dependency matter scheduled for 10:45 a.m. (I am assuming you plan to depose my client for a while).

sign it. She concedes she is not pregnant. There cannot be a finding of non-paternity for a non-existent child. Clayton's only viable claim at this point is for attorney's fees. If he insists on proceeding with a deposition when a motion to dismiss is pending, he's racking up needless fees.

Please let me know whether you will agree to postpone the deposition as soon as possible. If I don't hear from you or if you don't agree, I will proceed with filing the Motion to Quash.

Thank you,

Lexi Lindvall, Esq. Attorney | Modern Law

Mesa | Peoria | Scottsdale www.mymodernlaw.com

Modern law is a paperless office and hard copies of documents will not be mailed unless requested. >

^ **>** 

This email contains confidential, legal information. If you realize this email was not intended for you, please ignore the content, immediately notify me that you received it, then delete it. Otherwise bad legal stuff could happen.

Please be advised, this communication does not constitute an agreement pursuant to Rule 69, Arizona Refles of Family Law Procedure. Any statement concerning settlement is made pursuant to Rule 408, Arizona Follow of Evidence, and shall be considered protected from use in any future litigation.

## 4]4

## From JusticeForClayton community on Reddit

On Thu, Dec 28, 2023 at 12:56 PM Isabel Ranney

wrote:

Lexi,

Please see the attached Notice of Deposition for your client at our office on January 12, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. If this time does not work for you, we are also available on January 17th at 9:00 a.m. or 1 p.m. I am more than happy to reschedule it to better accommodate your schedule, but our preference is sooner rather than later.

You will also find attached Exhibit A, consistent with Rule 57(b)(2), Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure. This was initially sent to your client weeks ago, so she is well aware of her Rule 49 disclosure obligations as well as her duty to produce the documents requested. If you need me to send along a blank HIPAA release for your client to sign, I am happy to do so (one was included in our December 12<sup>th</sup> email to her).

Thank you,

Isabel Ranney

#### ISABEL RANNEY

Attorney



1747 E. Morten Ave., #205

Phoenix, Arizona 85020

Phone:

Fax: 602-396-5850

www.woodnicklaw.com

Email: 1



## €<u>J</u>è

## From JusticeForClayton community on Reddit

From: Isabel Ranney Sent: Wednesday, December 27, 2023 3:58 PM To: Alexis Lindvall : Sarah Saxon Iffany Benz Cc: Gregg Woodnick Subject: Echard Lexi, I am glad you are involved in this case, and I am happy that found another attorney. As you are aware, there has been a parade of counsel with the collateral (and malignant) litigation here, but I am confident that this chapter could end with your help. As we discussed, I am attaching the draft Stipulation with an Affidavit for to sign confirming that she was never pregnant by Clayton. behavior has been in the realm of abominable. It is publicly known that my office represented Mr. dealt with nearly identical allegations (yes, and attempted to secure that relationship with a similar fake twin pregnancy). What we are not going to have here is a situation where attempts to spin this into her saying she was bullied into a "miscarriage" (which appears to be her plan, given her December 8th Medium article and recent Facebook videos [available online]). Your client has used her public social media platform before (her January TedTalk, the Medium article, her Reddit and public Facebook posts). While Clayton cannot stop from fabricating nonsense for another TedTalk, Clayton can insist that this Title 25 court make appropriate findings and address attorney's fees based on a malignant filling.

addition to the verified Petition she signed, Medium.com article she wrote, and press releases to the Sun, Doily Mail,

To be clear, you client has already, under oath (it is public in video), claimed that she was 24 weeks pregnant (in

and whomever else she reached out to). Frankly, we do not believe she was EVER pregnant, and certainly not by

## €<u>T</u>è

## From JusticeForClayton community on Reddit

Clayton. We also do not believe she had a miscarriage (impossible to have without a pregnancy). Additionally, though your client may claim that she was pregnant at some point (or whatever she is claiming), she did state under oath in **November** that she was being seen by various pregnancy specialists (Dr. Makhoul, Dr. Higley, Dr. Jones, and Tamara Lister, NP).

At an evidentiary hearing, we would demand documentation that would show (or not show) that she informed these doctors that she had miscarried (or otherwise lost the pregnancy) in her second or third trimester. And, of course, medical records with verifiable medical documentation to support the same as a miscarriage at 24 weeks would require comprehensive medical attention, if not full hospitalization. (See <a href="https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/pregnancy-loss-miscarriage/diagnosis-treatment/drc-20354304">https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/pregnancy-loss-miscarriage/diagnosis-treatment/drc-20354304</a>; D&C Procedure After a Miscarriage: Risks & Complications (americanpregnancy.org)). Arizona law also requires a fetal death certificate to be filed within 7 days of fetal death where the fetus is past twenty (20) weeks or 350 grams in weight. A.R.S. 36-239.

To be clear, a letter from a care provider that states she had a miscarriage will not suffice — we will request <u>all</u> medical records from every provider she testified to being seen by under oath in the injunction hearing in November. Recall, there is a history here of providing correspondence that the authors deny having written. We will not accept an arts and crafts project from your client, as Clayton is entitled to all of the relevant records from the source.

This resolves, now or in court, with the admitting she was never pregnant by Clayton. Her exposure at the evidentiary hearing (which we will insist on) is testimony and evidence before the Court that she was <u>never</u> pregnant by anyone and that she fabricated medical documents (in addition to repeatedly lying under oath).

I appreciate that your client wants to put an end to this. Due to her past behavior, we have legitimate concerns that this will become another situation where she runs to an outlet (TedTalk/Medium/etc) to clear her own image with a fabricated story after she brought this upon herself by filing her Petition and reaching out to social media. The proposed language in the Exhibit A will prevent her from doing this. As you are aware, your client has a history of lashing out against anyone who sees the world differently than she does (that appears to be most people). Be it the Bar complaints, allegations that I was somehow involved in coordinating a sexual assault on her, claiming under oath that Mr. That hacked into her email accounts and fabricated text messages, etc.

She even lashed out at Clayton, contacted his family, slandered him impacting possible work opportunities, and overtly attempted to harm his reputation, all based on the most unhinged effort to preserve a relationship that never was. She can end this Title 25 matter by acknowledging she was never pregnant by Clayton. If she has any desire to hang onto this myth that babies can arise from non-intercourse, then she is going to have to file her Motion to Dismiss with Judge Mata and we will respond demanding our evidentiary hearing and pursuing this for attorney's fees and a finding of non-paternity.

## 4]4

## From JusticeForClayton community on Reddit

science of reproduction. If she wants to move on from this family court matter in Maricopa County Superior Court, she needs to fully acknowledge that she was never pregnant by Clayton.

No, Clayton will not agree that this be under seal or to limit his own ability to address the reputational damage caused by your client's behavior.

DICTATED

Gregg Woodnick

#### ISABEL RANNEY

Attorney



1747 E. Morten Ave., #205

Phoenix, Arizona 85020

Phone:

Fax: 602-396-5850

www.woodnicklaw.com

Email:

CONFIDENTIAL/PRIVILEGED INFORMATION: The information contained in this electronic mail message is Attorney privileged and confidential information intended ONLY for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. It you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via electronic mail or the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.





DocuSign Envelope ID: 34DCA7D2-203E-4102-8230-1701B304550B

determine paternity of the alleged pregnancy.

Clerk of the Superior Court

\*\*\* Electronically Filed \*\*\*

C. Diaz, Deputy

9/28/2023 11:32:42 AM

Filing ID 16667266

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN MARICOPA COUNTY

	Cas	se Number: FC2023-052114
(Name of Petitioner/Parent A)	,	AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE PARTIES
	C:	PURSUANT TO A.R.F.L.P. RULE 69
		PATERNITY/LEGAL DECISION-
		MAKING/PARENTING TIME
		WARING/FAREITING TIME
Clayton Echard		
(Name of Respondent/Parent B)	<u>X</u> F	PARTIAL SETTLEMENT
This is the discount for the	0-W	Carly Danalution Confessors
This is the time set for the	Settlement Conference X	Early Resolution Conference
held September 28, 2023	before (please check one):	
X Family Law Case Manager:		
railing Law Gase Manager.		<del></del> -
The assigned Judge on this case is	Honorable Julie Mat	nta ,
9	OF LES WASHINGS HOUSE AND A SECOND	<del></del>
Attending this conference are:		= 35/4
X Petitioner Petition	er's Counsel X Respond	dent Respondent's Counsel
ACDEEMENT OF THE DADTIES.		
AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES:		

Respondent has submitted a sample for genetic testing. Petitioner agrees to contribute a sample on October 2, 2023 to





DocuSign Envelope ID: 34DCA7D2-203E-4102-8230-1701B304550B

By signing this agreement, both parties affirm that the information is true and correct, including the following:

- 1. I have read and understand the agreement(s) contained herein.
- 2. This document reflects my full agreement(s) and describes everything I have agreed to.
- 3.
  If I have an attorney, I am entering into the agreement(s) contained herein voluntarily with the advice of my attorney. If I do not have an attorney, I am voluntarily entering into the agreement(s) without the advice of an attorney but recognize that if I had wanted to have an attorney represent me here today, I could have hired one to do so.
- 4. I am entering into the agreement(s) contained herein without duress, coercion, threats, or undue influence.
- 5. Under the circumstances, I believe that the agreement(s) contained herein is/are fair and reasonable.
- If the agreement(s) contained herein impacts our minor child(ren), I believe that the agreement(s) is/are in the child(ren)'s best interest.
- I understand that if the Judge approves the agreement(s) contained herein, the agreement(s) will be enforceable as a court order as of the date the agreement(s) is/are approved.
- Pursuant to Rule 69 of the Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure, it is my intent that the agreement(s) contained herein shall be binding.

#### SIGNATURES OF THE PARTIES

		9/28/2023   1:12 PM CDT
PETITIONER		Date
Cul	Sarah Donielson, Special Deputy CLERK Sarah Donielson SPECIAL DEPUTY CLERK State of Assuma County of Manicopa	9/28/2023   11:12 AM PDT
RESPONDENT Clayton Echard	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	Date

Sarah Danielson, Special Deputy CLERK <u>Sarah Depliction</u> SPECIAL DEPUTY CLERK Stale of Arizona County of Maricopa



	Clerk of the Superior Court  *** Electronically Filed ***
Person Filing:	T. Hays, Deputy 10/18/2023 10:12:36 AM
Address (if not protected)	Filing ID 16763883
City, State, Zip Code:	
Telephone:	FOR CLERICS USE ONLY
Email Address.	POR CLERG S DOE ONLY
ATLAS Number:	L
Lawyer's Bar Number:	
SUPERIOR C	OR Attorney for Petitioner OR Respondent OURT OF ARIZONA COPA COUNTY
	Case Number: FC2023-052114
Name of Petitioner / Party A	Current Judge: The Honorable Julie Mata
	Current Judge.
Clayton Echard	
Clayton Echard  Name of Respondent / Party B	REQUEST FOR PRE-DECREE MEDIATION
Name of Respondent / Party B  We do not agree about the legal decision involving the child(ren) and I ask that Fabased on the following:  1. Case Type: (Check one box only.	REQUEST FOR PRE-DECREE MEDIATION  -making and/or parenting time or visitation issues amily Department to set a mediation of the issues,
Name of Respondent / Party B  We do not agree about the legal decision involving the child(ren) and I ask that Fabased on the following:  1. Case Type: (Check one box only.  Divorce Pending: My spouse or legal separation. No final orders parenting time have been entered	REQUEST FOR PRE-DECREE MEDIATION  a-making and/or parenting time or visitation issues amily Department to set a mediation of the issues,  I have filed papers with the Court for divorce or about legal decision-making (Legal custody) or
Name of Respondent / Party B  We do not agree about the legal decision involving the child(ren) and I ask that Fabased on the following:  1. Case Type: (Check one box only.  Divorce Pending: My spouse or legal separation. No final orders parenting time have been entered OR  Paternity Pending: One of the parenting time in the par	REQUEST FOR PRE-DECREE MEDIATION  a-making and/or parenting time or visitation issues amily Department to set a mediation of the issues,  I have filed papers with the Court for divorce or about legal decision-making (Legal custody) or by the Judge.  arties has filed papers with this Court to establish final orders about legal decision-making (Legal





	Case Number: FC2023-052114
2.	Prior Mediation. (Check one box only.)  We have not participated in mediation  Or  We have participated in mediation before.
3.	Describe the disagreement. Be brief and specific. (Your disagreement must involve the Legal custody and/or parenting time of your minor children):
	The Respondent refuses to respond to emails, making it impossible to make a parer  He even acts as if the unborn children don't exist, despite a pro ponderous of evide
4.	Information about Other Party:  Name: Clayton Echard
	Address:  City, State, Zip code:
	Telephone Number(s): /
Da	Attorney (if known):
	Signature of reison sublimiting request for Mediation

Notice to both parties: If your order is signed by the Judicial Officer, the Family Department will mail an "Order to Attend Mediation" to both parties.

Warning: Failure to attend may cost you a \$200 fee.





Clerk of the Superior Court

\*\*\* Electronically Filed \*\*\*

10/20/2023 8:00 AM

### SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY

FC 2023-052114

10/18/2023

HONORABLE JULIE ANN MATA

CLERK OF THE COURT
L. Overton
Deputy

IN RE THE MATTER OF

AND

**CLAYTON ECHARD** 



CLAYTONECHARD

JUDGE MATA

### ORDER ENTERED BY COURT

The Court received and considered Petitioner's ("Mother") Expedited (!) Motion to Seal Court Record filed September 14, 2023.

Upon the Court's own motion,

IT IS ORDERED the Motion is denied.

All parties representing themselves must keep the Court updated with address changes.

A form may be downloaded at:

http://www.superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/SuperiorCourt/LawLibraryResourceCenter/

