

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Apr-04-2019 11:54 am

Case Number: CGC-19-575032

Filing Date: Apr-04-2019 11:47

Filed by: SANDRA SCHIRO

Image: 06753076

COMPLAINT

LAURA OWENS VS. ZAID ESSAM SALEH ALKURDI

001C06753076

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

		CM-010
	mber, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ALISON E. CORDOVA/ DONALD MAGILLIGA	AN SBN: 284942 / 257714	
COTCHETT PITTE & McCARTHY, LLP	940 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
COTCHETT PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP	840 Malcolm Road, Burlingame, CA	' FILED
TELEPHONE NO.: (650) 697-6000 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff: LAURA OWENS	fax no.:(650) 697-0577	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN I	FRANCISCO	San Francisco County Superior Court
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street		APR 0 4 2019
MAILING ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street		AFR V 4 2013
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, 94102-4515		CLERK OF THE COURT
BRANCH NAME: Civic Center Courthouse		By. Jandra John
CASE NAME: LAURA OWENS v. ZAID ESSA	AM SALEH ALKURDI, et al.	Deputy Clerk
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
X Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	CGC-19-575032
(Amount (Amount demanded is		JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	Filed with first appearance by defend (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
	v must be completed (see instructions of	
1. Check one box below for the case type that t		
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
X Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse [condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort		Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)		Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Povious	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Office permon (not specified above) (40)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is is not comple	ex under rule 3.400 of the California Ru	les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage		
a. Large number of separately represe		
b. Extensive motion practice raising di		with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming t	patrix annual	es, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documentary	evidence f. L Substantial po	stjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	X monetary b. nonmonetary; d	eclaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): Three:	1) Negligence	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
5. This case is X is not a class	action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file and	d serve a notice of related case. (You n	nay use form CM-015.)
Date: April 4, 2019		
ALISON E. CORDOVA/ DONALD MAGILLI	GAN /	$\frac{1}{1}$
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	V _{(SI}	GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first	NOTICE	a (akean) amall claims asses or asses filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or W	elfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Bule	es of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.		- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover		
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et se other parties to the action or proceeding. 	eq. or the Camornia Hules of Court, you	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
 Unless this is a collections case under rule 3 	.740 or a complex case, this cover she	et will be used for statistical purposes only
		Page 1 of 2

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party. its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Nealigent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35) **Employment**

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) **Auto Subrogation** Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute **Real Property** Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31)

Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential) **Judicial Review** Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ of Possession of Real Property

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

foreclosure)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse **Election Contest** Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim Other Civil Petition

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: ZAID ESSAM SALEH ALKURDI, an individual; (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): See Additional Defendants On Attached Sheet

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: LAURA OWENS, an individual (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco

400 McAllister Street

San Francisco, California 94102-4515

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

ALISON E. CORDOVA (SBN 284942) / DONALD MAGILLIGA (257714)

COTCHETT PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP

840 Malcolm Road, Burlingame, CA 94010

(Secretario)

(650) 697-6000

CASE NUMBER: C-19-57503

DATE: (Fecha)

APR 04 2019

CLERK OF THE COURT

Clerk, by

Deputy

(Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are

١	[SEAL]
	SUPERIOR OF CANADA OSCILLATION OSCILLA

as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious nan	
3. on behalf of (specify):	
under: CCP 416.10 (corporation)	CCP 4

CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)

16.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

	other (specify):			
by	persona	l delivery	on	(date):

Page 1 of 1

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; RASIER, LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation; RASIER-CA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation; BRUCE ROBERT BROGDEN, an individual; NU FOREST PRODUCTS, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive.

1 ALISON E. CORDOVA (SBN 284942) acordova@cpmlegal.com 2 FILED DONALD MAGILLIGAN (SBN 257714) dmagilligan@cpmlegal.com San Francisco County Superior Court 3 COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP San Francisco Airport Office Center APR 04 2019 4 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 CLERK OF THE COURT 5 Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 6 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577 7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 11 12 LAURA OWENS, an individual, CASE NO. CGC-19-575032 13 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES BASED 14 **UPON:** 15 **NEGLIGENCE (1)** ZAID ESSAM SALEH ALKURDI, an 16 individual; UBER TECHNOLOGIES, **(2) NEGLIGENT ENTRUSTMENT** 17 INC., a Delaware Corporation; RASIER, LLC, a Delaware limited liability **(3) NEGLIGENT HIRING,** 18 corporation; RASIER-CA, LLC, a SUPERVISION, OR RETENTION Delaware limited liability corporation; 19 BRUCE ROBERT BROGDEN, an individual; NU FOREST PRODUCTS, **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 20 INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 21 through 20, inclusive. 22 Defendants. 23 24 25 26 27 28

Law Offices

COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP

COMPLAINT

1	1 TABLE OF CONTENTS			
2		PAGE NO.		
3	I. INTRODUCTION	1		
4	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE	1		
5	III. PARTIES			
6	A. Plaintiffs			
7	B. Defendants	2		
8	C. Other Defendants			
9	D. Agency & Concert of Action	4		
10	IV. FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE CLAIMS ASSERTED	4		
11	V. CAUSES OF ACTION	5		
12	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	5		
13	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	7		
14	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	7		
15	VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF	8		
16	VII. JURY DEMAND	9		
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				

COMPLAINT

Law Offices
COTCHETT, PITRE
& MCCARTHY, LLP

Plaintiff LAURA OWENS ("LAURA"), by and through her attorneys of record, makes the following allegations and claims for her complaint against ZAID ESSAM SALEH ALKURDI; UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; RASIER, LLC; RASIER-CA, LLC; BRUCE ROBERT BROGDEN, and NU FOREST PRODUCTS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive (collectively, "DEFENDANTS"). The following allegations are made upon information and belief, except as to allegations specifically pertaining to LAURA, which are made upon knowledge.

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. On April 6, 2017, at approximately 10:45 a.m., Plaintiff LAURA was an unwitting passenger in an UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ("UBER") rideshare wherein the UBER driver, Defendant Zaid Essam Saleh Alkurdi ("ALKURDI") was not insured to provide rideshare services in any manner, despite picking up and driving LAURA as a passenger in the rear of his SUV within the course and scope of his agency as a common carrier driver for Defendants UBER, RASIER, LLC, and/or RASIER-CA, LLC.
- 2. Rather than paying attention to the road, **ALKURDI** was focused on his cell phone and **ALKURDI** suddenly slammed on his brakes, spun around, hit the car in front, and got hit by a truck from behind being driven by Defendant Bruce Robert Brogden ("BROGDEN.")
- 3. Defendant **BROGDEN** was driving a 2000 GMC Sierra pickup truck within the course and scope of his employment with defendant **NU FOREST PRODUCTS, INC**. **BROGDEN** had also taken his eyes off the road in front of him and was driving his truck too fast for the conditions.
- 4. As a result of the combined negligence of **DEFENDANTS**, Plaintiff **LAURA** suffered serious injuries and damages hereafter alleged.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This court has personal jurisdiction over **DEFENDANTS** because **DEFENDANTS** are residents of the state of California and, at all times relevant, the events which combined to produce the injuries sustained by **LAURA** occurred in the county of San **COMPLAINT**

Francisco, State of California. This court is competent to adjudicate this action and the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this court.

6. Venue is proper in San Francisco County pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 395 because substantially all of the events, acts, omissions, and/or transactions complained of herein occurred in, or originated from, San Francisco County, State of California.

III. PARTIES

A. Plaintiffs

7. Plaintiff **LAURA OWENS** is a natural person who is and at all times mentioned in this complaint was a resident of San Francisco, California.

B. Defendants

- 8. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant Zaid Essam Saleh Alkurdi ("ALKURDI") was, at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual who resided in Carmichael, California, County of Sacramento. At the time of the automobile collision that injured LAURA, ALKURDI was the driver of the gray 2009 Ford Escape (California license plate 5XGV558). Defendant Alkurdi was the employee, agent, servant, and under the control of Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, and/or RASIER-CA, LLC during the time giving rise to the causes of action described below.
- 9. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ("UBER") was, at all relevant times mentioned herein, a transportation network company incorporated in Delaware with offices and facilities located in the City and County of San Francisco.
- 10. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that Defendant RASIER, LLC and RASIER-CA, LLC are Delaware limited liability corporations which are wholly-owned subsidiaries of UBER. RASIER, LLC and RASIER-CA, LLC have their principal places of business in, and they conduct business in, San Francisco, California.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that UBER, RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC, and DOES 1–10 operate a Transportation Network Company COMPLAINT

& MCCARTHY, LLP

("TNC") in San Francisco that provides a mobile application ("App") online-enabled platform connecting passengers with drivers who use their personal vehicles by allowing people to pay for rides through their phones. The popular "ride hailing" service provides transportation to the general public by matching a rider with a driver who drives them to a destination. UBER, RASIER, LLC, and/or RASIER-CA, LLC, and DOES 1–10 solicit and retain tens of thousands of non-professional drivers, including ALKURDI, and exercise control over the drivers' vehicle specifications, vehicle inspections, insurance requirements, operational restrictions, dress code, hygiene standards, in-car behavior, and other mandates. UBER, RASIER, LLC, and/or RASIER-CA, LLC, and DOES 1–10 are directly liable for their negligent hiring, supervision, and retention of Defendant ALKURDI under the doctrine of respondeat superior. Accordingly, UBER, RASIER, LLC, and/or RASIER-CA, LLC, and DOES 1–10 are liable for their employees' and actual and/or apparent agents' intentional and negligent torts.

- 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant Bruce Robert Brogden ("BROGDEN") was, at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual who resided in Healdsburg, California, County of Sonoma. At the time of the automobile collision that injured LAURA, BROGDEN was the driver of the white 2000 GMC Sierra Truck (California license plate 6T77097).
- Products, Inc. ("NU FOREST PRODUCTS") was, at all relevant times mentioned herein, a corporation with offices and facilities located in the city of Cloverdale, California, County of Sonoma. At the time of the subject collision that injured LAURA, NU FOREST PRODUCTS was the owner, bailor, lessor, and/or licensor of the GMC Sierra Truck that BROGDEN was driving. Furthermore, Defendant BROGDEN was the employee, agent, servant, and or under the control of NU FOREST PRODUCTS. NU FOREST PRODUCTS is liable for their negligent hiring, supervision, and retention of Defendant BROGDEN under the doctrine of respondeat superior. Accordingly, NU FOREST PRODUCTS is liable for their employees' and actual and/or apparent agents' intentional and negligent torts.

 COMPLAINT

C. Other Defendants

14. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of the Defendants **DOE 1** through **DOE 20**, inclusive are unknown to Plaintiffs who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 474; Plaintiffs further alleges that each of said fictitious Defendants is in some manner responsible for the acts and occurrences hereinafter set forth. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same are ascertained, as well as the manner in which each fictitious Defendant is responsible.

D. Agency & Concert of Action

15. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, hereinabove, were the agents, servants, employees, partners, aiders and abettors, co-conspirators, and/or joint venturers of each of the Defendants named herein and were at all times operating and acting within the purpose and scope of said agency, service, employment, partnership, enterprise, conspiracy, and/or joint venture, and each Defendant has ratified and approved the acts of each of the remaining Defendants. Each of the Defendants aided and abetted, encouraged, and rendered substantial assistance to the other Defendants in breaching their obligations to Plaintiffs, as alleged herein. In taking action to aid and abet and substantially assist the commission of these wrongful acts and other wrongdoings complained of, as alleged herein, each of the Defendants acted with an awareness of his/her/its primary wrongdoing and realized that his/her/its conduct would substantially assist the accomplishment of the wrongful conduct, wrongful goals, and wrongdoing.

IV. <u>FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE CLAIMS ASSERTED</u>

- 16. LAURA requested a ride from UBER at approximately 10:30 a.m. on April 6,
 2017. ALKURDI picked her up in a gray 2009 Ford Escape (California license plate
 5XGV558) and began driving her across San Francisco.
- 17. As **ALKURDI** drove **LAURA** through the MacArthur Tunnel in San Francisco, he turned his attention to his phone and away from the road.

- 18. **ALKURDI** suddenly slammed on his brakes to avoid hitting cars ahead of him. The force of the stopping caused him to spin around, hit the car in front of him, hit the tunnel, and stop facing the wrong way just outside the tunnel.
- 19. As **ALKURDI** spun, their car was hit by a white 2000 GMC Sierra Truck (California license plate 6T77097) being driven by Defendant **BRODGEN**.
- 20. Defendant **ALKURDI** so negligently, wrongfully, recklessly, and/or unlawfully operated his vehicle that Laura suffered injuries.
- 21. Defendant **UBER** failed to use due care in the hiring, supervision, selection, and/or retention of **ALKURDI**. Defendant **UBER** knew or should have known that **ALKURDI** was unfit and/or incompetent to safely operate his vehicle.
- 22. Defendant **BROGDEN** so negligently, wrongfully, recklessly, and/or unlawfully operated his vehicle that **LAURA** suffered injuries.
- 23. Defendant **NU FOREST PRODUCTS** failed to use due care in the hiring, supervision, selection, and/or retention of **BROGDEN**. Defendant **NU FOREST PRODUCTS** knew or should have known that **BROGDEN** was unfit and/or incompetent to safely operate his vehicle.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENCE) (Against Defendants ALKURDI and BROGDEN; and DOES 1-10)

- 24. Plaintiff **LAURA** hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth in detail herein.
- 25. Plaintiff LAURA is informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at the time of the subject collision, Defendant ALKURDI negligently, carelessly, recklessly, and/or unlawfully operated the gray 2009 Ford Escape (California license plate 5XGV558), so as to cause the subject collision. As a direct and legal result of the wrongful acts and omissions of Defendant ALKURDI, LAURA sustained considerable physical and mental injuries as a result of the traffic accident.

- 26. Plaintiff LAURA is informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at the time of the subject collision, Defendant BROGDEN negligently, carelessly, recklessly, and/or unlawfully operated the white 2000 GMC Sierra Truck (California license plate 6T77097) so as to cause the subject collision. As a direct and legal result of the wrongful acts and omissions of Defendant BROGDEN, LAURA sustained considerable physical and mental injuries as a result of the traffic accident.
- 27. At the time of the subject collision, Defendants **ALKURDI** and **BROGDEN** were under a duty to comply with California Vehicle Code § 22350;
- 28. At the time of the subject collision, **ALKURDI** and **BROGDEN** were under a duty to comply with California Vehicle Code § 21703;
- 29. At the time of the subject collision, Defendant **ALKURDI** was under a duty to comply with California Vehicle Code §§ 23123 and 23123.5.
- 30. At the time of the subject collision, Defendants **ALKURDI** and **BROGDEN** violated the above-referenced statutes when they caused the collision causing harm to Plaintiff **LAURA**.
- 31. Plaintiff **LAURA** was among the class of persons for whose protection these statutes were afforded.
- 32. As a direct and legal result of the wrongful conduct and/or omissions, and statutory violations of Defendants **ALKURDI** and **BROGDEN**, Plaintiff **LAURA** was injured in her health, strength, and activity, and sustained injuries to her body and mind, all of which caused her great physical, mental, emotional, and nervous pain and suffering. Plaintiff **LAURA** is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that such injuries have resulted in debilitating injuries, all to her general damages in amount according to proof at trial.
- 33. As a further direct and legal result of the wrongful conduct and/or omissions of Defendant **ALKURDI** and **BROGDEN**, Plaintiff **LAURA** was required to employ physicians and other health care providers to examine, treat, and care for her injuries, and have had to

incur medical and incidental expenses for such examination, treatment, rehabilitation, and care in amount according to proof at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENT ENTRUSTMENT) (Against Defendants NU FOREST PRODUCTS; and DOES 1–10)

- 34. Plaintiff **LAURA** hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth in detail herein.
- 35. On April 6, 2017, Defendant **NU FOREST PRODUCTS** and **DOES 1–10** entrusted and/or permitted Defendant **BROGDEN** to drive a truck for which they were the owner, bailor, lessor, and/or licensee (the white 2000 GMC Sierra Truck with California license plate 6T77097).
- 36. At the time Defendant NU FOREST PRODUCTS and DOES 1–10 permitted and/or entrusted Defendant BROGDEN to drive their truck, NU FOREST PRODUCTS and DOES 1–10 knew or should have known, that Defendant BROGDEN was incompetent and/or unfit to safely drive the vehicle.
- 37. At all times preceding the collision, Defendant **BROGDEN**'s incompetence and/or unfitness to drive was the legal cause of Plaintiff **LAURA**'s injuries.
- 38. As a direct and legal result of the aforementioned acts of Defendants **NU FOREST PRODUCTS** and **DOES 1–10**, and/or each of them, Plaintiff **LAURA** suffered the damages hereinabove set forth.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENT HIRING, TRAINING AND SUPERVISION) (Against Defendants UBER; RASIER, LLC; RASIER-CA, LLC; and DOES 11–20)

- 39. Plaintiff **LAURA** hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth in detail herein.
- 40. At all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff **LAURA** had the expectation that she would be safe and secure travelling in the MacArthur Tunnel in San Francisco.
- 41. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants UBER; RASIER, LLC; RASIER-CA, LLC; and DOES 11–20 had a responsibility to properly identify, screen, select, train,

COMPLAINT

COMPLAINT

supervise, monitor, oversee, and/or evaluate its employees and/or independent contractors to ensure the fitness of such individuals for employment in positions of transporting passengers in vehicles.

- 42. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant **ALKURDI** was unfit to transport passengers in his gray 2009 Ford Escape with California license plate 5XGV558 because he did not have liability insurance to act as a rideshare driver the job he was hired for as required by California State Law and/or the terms of Defendant **ALKURDI**'s hirerindependent contractor relationship with **UBER**.
- 43. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants **UBER**, **RASIER**, **LLC**, **RASIER**-CA, **LLC**, and **DOES 11–20**, and/or each of them, knew or should have known that Defendant **ALKURDI** was unfit to operate 2009 Ford Escape with California license plate 5XGV558 as a driver and that his unfitness created a particular risk of harm to all passengers who stepped into the 2009 Ford Escape with California license plate 5XGV558, such as Plaintiff **LAURA**.
- 44. As a result of the unfitness of Defendants described herein, Plaintiff LAURA was seriously injured due to the accident that Defendants ALKURDI and BROGDEN directly caused.
- 45. As a direct and legal result of Defendants UBER; RASIER, LLC; RASIER-CA, LLC; and DOES 11–20's, and/or each of their, failure to properly identify, screen, select, train, supervise, monitor, oversee, and/or evaluate the fitness of Defendant ALKURDI as an operator of the 2009 Ford Escape with California license plate 5XGV558, PLAINTIFFS suffered the injuries and damages hereinabove set forth.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that this Court enter judgment in their favor on every claim for relief set forth above and award them relief including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. For general damages in an amount according to proof at trial, and beyond the jurisdictional minimum of this Court;
 - 2. For economic losses, in an amount according to proof at trial;

1	3. For interest upon any judgment entered as provided by law;
2	4. For medical and related expenses according to proof at trial;
3	5. For costs of suit incurred herein;
4	6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
5	
6	Dated: April 4, 2019 COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
7	
8	Ву:
9	DONALD J. MAGILLIGAN Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	
11	VII. <u>JURY DEMAND</u>
12	Plaintiff LAURA demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.
13	Dated: April 4, 2019 COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
14	
15	
16	Ву:
17	DONALD J. MAGILLIGAN Attorneys for Plaintiffs
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Law Offices
COTCHETT, PITRE
& MCCARTHY, LLP

28

COMPLAINT